All Children Are Special:
Research on the Benefits of Inclusion

The research evidence on inclusive education is clear: everyone wins, and no one loses.

The word is getting out, but slowly. This might be because there are so many studies of such a variety of students, circumstances, and outcomes. Implications of single studies can be hard to understand and compare. Most show, though, that academic and/or social outcomes for all students are better when they are educated together. Others have found that placement didn’t appear to make a difference. The evidence for benefits of segregated education is weak, and mostly found when students in segregated placements were compared to those in general education classes without appropriate supports and services.

An overview of the research provides compelling support for several conclusions:

- Inclusive education is at least as good, and appears to be better than segregated settings for all students.
- Diverse learners can meet individual needs working together.
- Parents’ and teachers’ reports of their experience are positive as well.
- Inclusive schools don’t cost more.
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